

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,682	MARC ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fannie Kee	3679	

All Participants:

(1) Fannie Kee.

(2) H. James Voeller, #48,015.

Date of Interview: 3 November 2010

Status of Application: Amendment after Non-Final

(3) Aaron Dunwoody.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:35 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claim 13 as outlined in the attached Examiner's Amendment, Paper No. 20101103, which puts this application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)